



Baseline Concentrations of the Chemical Plant Groundwater Operable Unit Monitored Natural Attenuation Network at the Weldon Spring, Missouri, Site

July 2008



**U.S. Department
of Energy**

Office of Legacy Management

This page intentionally left blank

**U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Legacy Management**

**Baseline Concentrations
of the Chemical Plant Groundwater Operable Unit
Monitored Natural Attenuation Network
at the Weldon Spring, Missouri, Site**

July 2008

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	vii
1.0 Introduction.....	1–1
1.1 Purpose of the Report.....	1–1
1.2 Scope of the Report.....	1–1
2.0 Background Information Relevant to the GWOU MNA Remedy.....	2–1
2.1 Site Hydrogeology	2–1
2.2 Site Characterization and Monitoring	2–11
2.3 Studies Conducted Prior to the Implementation of MNA	2–16
2.3.1 Additional Groundwater Field Studies—Enhanced Groundwater Extraction	2–16
2.3.2 In Situ Chemical Oxidation (ICO) of TCE	2–18
2.3.3 MNA Timeframe Calculations	2–20
2.4 MNA Monitoring Program	2–20
3.0 Assessment of Baseline Data.....	3–1
3.1 Groundwater Levels.....	3–1
3.2 Baseline Monitoring Data	3–1
3.2.1 Nitrate (as N).....	3–1
3.2.2 Uranium	3–5
3.2.3 TCE	3–8
3.2.4 2,4-Dinitrotoluene.....	3–10
3.2.5 2,6-Dinitrotoluene.....	3–13
3.2.6 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	3–15
3.2.7 1,3-Dinitrobenzene.....	3–17
3.2.8 Nitrobenzene	3–17
3.2.9 Background (Objective 1) Data	3–17
3.3 Temporal Trends in Contaminant Concentration	3–18
3.3.1 Nitrate (as N).....	3–18
3.3.2 Uranium	3–20
3.3.3 TCE	3–22
3.3.4 Nitroaromatic Compounds	3–22
4.0 Reevaluation of Remediation Timeframes	4–1
4.1 Recalculation of Initial Concentrations	4–1
4.2 Review of Areal Distribution of Contaminants	4–2
4.3 Review of MNA Remediation Timeframes	4–2
5.0 Monitored Network Assessment.....	5–1
5.1 Upgradient Wells (Objective 1).....	5–1
5.2 Performance Monitoring Wells (Objective 2)	5–1
5.3 Detection Monitoring Wells (Objectives 3 and 4).....	5–1
5.4 Springs (Objective 5)	5–1
6.0 MNA Data Evaluation Process	6–1
6.1 Upgradient Monitoring Data Evaluation	6–1
6.2 Performance Monitoring Data Evaluation	6–1
6.3 Detection Monitoring Data Evaluation	6–2
6.4 Hydrologic Data Evaluation	6–2
6.5 Trigger Levels	6–3
6.6 Long-Term Data Evaluation	6–3

7.0	Summary	7–1
8.0	References.....	8–1

Figures

Figure 2–1.	Location of the Weldon Spring Site.....	2–2
Figure 2–2.	Vicinity Map of the Weldon Spring Site	2–3
Figure 2–3.	Generalized Stratigraphy and Hydrostratigraphy of the Weldon Spring Site....	2–4
Figure 2–4.	Layout of the Weldon Spring Chemical Plant	2–7
Figure 2–5.	Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model for the Weldon Spring Site	2–8
Figure 2–6.	Preferential Flow Paths in the Burlington-Keokuk Limestone at the Chemical Plant Area.....	2–9
Figure 2–7.	Potentiometric Surface of the Shallow Aquifer (Weathered Zone).....	2–10
Figure 2–8.	Monitoring Wells in the Chemical Plant Area in 2002.....	2–14
Figure 2–9.	Spring Monitoring Locations in the Vicinity of the Chemical Plant in 2002... .	2–15
Figure 2–10.	Locations of Wells Used for the Enhanced Groundwater Extractions Tests....	2–17
Figure 2–11.	Locations of Wells Used for Pilot Tests of In Situ Chemical Oxidation.....	2–19
Figure 2–12.	Monitoring Well Network for Evaluating MNA	2–24
Figure 3–1.	Distribution of Nitrate (as N)—Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–2
Figure 3–2.	Distribution of Nitrate (as N)—Unweathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–3
Figure 3–3.	Distribution of Uranium—Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–6
Figure 3–4.	Distribution of Uranium—Unweathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–7
Figure 3–5.	Distribution of TCE— Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006) ...	3–9
Figure 3–6.	Distribution of 2,4-DNT—Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–11
Figure 3–7.	Distribution of 2,6-DNT—Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–14
Figure 3–8.	Distribution of 2,4,6-TNT—Weathered Unit—MNA Baseline Data (2004–2006).....	3–16
Figure 3–9.	Annual Average Nitrate Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells Screened in the Weathered Unit (2000–2006)	3–19
Figure 3–10.	Annual Average Nitrate Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells Screened in the Unweathered Unit (2004–2006)	3–20
Figure 3–11.	Annual Average Uranium Levels in Objective 2 Wells Screened in the Weathered Unit (2000–2006)	3–21
Figure 3–12.	Annual Average Uranium Levels in Objective 2 Wells Screened in the Unweathered Unit (2000–2006)	3–21
Figure 3–13.	Annual Average TCE Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells (2000–2006).....	3–22
Figure 3–14.	Annual Average 1,3-DNB Concentrations in Objective 2 Well MW-2012 (2000–2006).....	3–24
Figure 3–15.	Annual Average 2,4,6-TNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells (2000–2006).....	3–24

Figure 3–16.	Annual Average 2,4-DNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Well MW-2012 (2000–2006).....	3–25
Figure 3–17.	Annual Average 2,4-DNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells in the Frog Pond Area (2000–2006)	3–25
Figure 3–18.	Annual Average 2,4-DNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells in the Raffinate Pit Area (2000–2006).....	3–26
Figure 3–19.	Annual Average 2,6-DNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Well MW-2012 (2000–2006).....	3–26
Figure 3–20.	Annual Average 2,6-DNT Concentrations in Objective 2 Wells (2000–2006).....	3–27
Figure 3–21.	Annual Average Uranium Levels in Burgermeister Spring and SP-6303 (2000–2006).....	3–28
Figure 3–22.	Annual Average Uranium Levels in Southeast Drainage Springs (2000–2006).....	3–28

Tables

Table 2–1.	Computed Times to Cleanup with the MNA Remedy	2–21
Table 2–2.	Cleanup Standards for the GWOU	2–22
Table 2–3.	Monitoring Locations Retained for Assessing MNA at the GWOU	2–23
Table 2–4.	Monitoring Parameters for MNA Locations	2–26
Table 3–1.	Summary of Nitrate (as N) Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006).....	3–4
Table 3–2.	Summary of Nitrate (as N) Data for Objective 3, 4, and 5 Locations (2004–2006).....	3–4
Table 3–3.	Summary of Uranium Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006)	3–5
Table 3–4.	Summary of Uranium Data for Objective 3, 4, and 5 Locations (2004–2006)	3–8
Table 3–5.	Summary of TCE Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006)	3–10
Table 3–6.	Summary of TCE Data for Objective 3, 4, and 5 Locations (2004–2006).....	3–10
Table 3–7.	Summary of 2,4-DNT Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006)	3–12
Table 3–8.	Nitroaromatic Compound Data for Objective 3, 4, and 5 Locations (2004–2006).....	3–13
Table 3–9.	Summary of 2,6-DNT Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006).....	3–15
Table 3–10.	Summary of 2,4,6-TNT Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006)	3–15
Table 3–11.	Summary of 1,3-TNB Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006)	3–17
Table 3–12.	Summary of Nitrobenzene Data from Objective 2 Wells (2004–2006).....	3–17
Table 3–13.	Summary of Background Monitoring Locations (Objective 1) (2004–2006)....	3–18
Table 3–14.	Trending Analysis for Nitrate (as N) in Objective 2 MNA Wells	3–19
Table 3–15.	Trending Analysis for Uranium in Objective 2 MNA Wells.....	3–20
Table 3–16.	Trending Analysis for TCE in Objective 2 MNA Wells	3–22
Table 3–17.	Trending Analysis for 2,4-DNT in Objective 2 MNA Wells	3–23
Table 3–18.	Trending Analysis for 2,6-DNT in Objective 2 MNA Wells	3–23
Table 3–19.	Trending Analysis for 2,4,6-TNT in Objective 2 MNA Wells.....	3–23
Table 3–20.	Trending Analysis for 1,3-DNB in Objective 2 MNA Wells	3–23
Table 3–21.	Trending Analysis for Uranium in Objective 5 MNA Springs.....	3–27
Table 4–1.	New Baseline Contaminant Concentrations for MNA Remediation Timeframe Determination	4–1
Table 5–1.	Monitoring Program for GWOU MNA Remedy	5–2
Table 6–1.	Trigger Levels for Performance and Detection Monitoring at the GWOU	6–3

Appendix

Appendix A. Contaminant Distributions—2002 Data

Acronyms and Abbreviations

1,3-DNB	1,3-dinitrobenzene
2,4-DNT	2,4-dinitrotoluene
2,6-DNT	2,6-dinitrotoluene
1,3,5-TNB	1,3,5-trinitrobenzene
2,4,6-TNT	2,4,6-trinitrotoluene
ANL	Argonne National Laboratory, Environmental Assessment Division
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
cm/s	centimeters per second
COC	contaminant of concern
DA	U.S. Department of the Army
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-LM	U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Legacy Management
ft	feet
gpm	gallons per minute
GWOU	Groundwater Operable Unit
ICO	in situ chemical oxidation
IRA	interim remedial action
K _d	soil-water distribution coefficient
LM	Legacy Management
m	meters
MCL	maximum contaminant level
µg/L	micrograms per liter
MDNR	Missouri Department of Natural Resources
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MNA	monitored natural attenuation
NB	nitrobenzene
N	nitrogen
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
R	retardation factor
RAO	remedial action objective
RD/RA	remedial design/remedial action
RI	remedial investigation
ROD	Record of Decision
TCE	trichloroethylene
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WSTA	Weldon Spring Training Area

End of current text